

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

GM

FILED
LOGGED

ENTERED
RECEIVED

SEP 29 1995

JASON SCOTT,

Plaintiff,

v.

RICK ROSS, a/k/a RICKEY ALLEN
ROSS, MARK WORKMAN, CHARLES
SIMPSON, CULT AWARENESS NETWORK,
a California nonprofit corporation,
and JOHN DOE 1-JOHN DOE 20,

Defendants.

AT SEATTLE
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT
BY WESLEY B. DUNN, DEPUTY

CASE NO. C94-0079C

VERDICT FORM

1. Do you find that any of the Defendants were negligent and that such negligence was a proximate cause of injury to the Plaintiff?

	Yes	No
A. Defendant Rick Ross	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B. Defendant Mark Workman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
C. Defendant Charles Simpson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
D. Defendant Cult Awareness Network	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ORDER -- 1

201

1 2. If you answered yes to question number 1, what percentage of the total combined negligence
2 is attributable to each Defendant whose negligence was found in question number 1?

- 3 A. Defendant Rick Ross 70 %
- 4 B. Defendant Mark Workman 10 %
- 5 C. Defendant Charles Simpson 10 %
- 6 D. Defendant Cult Awareness Network 10 %

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

ORDER -- 2

1 3. Do you find that any of the Defendants were involved in a conspiracy to deprive Plaintiff of
2 his civil rights of freedom of religion or freedom of interstate travel and that such conspiracy
3 caused injury to the Plaintiff?

	Yes	No
4		
5 A. Defendant Rick Ross	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6		
7 B. Defendant Mark Workman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8		
9 C. Defendant Charles Simpson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

ORDER -- 3

1 4. Do you find that any of the Defendants committed the tort of outrage against the Plaintiff?
2 That is, do you find that any of the Defendants intentionally or recklessly acted in a way that is
3 so outrageous in character and so extreme in degree as to go beyond all possible bounds of
4 decency and to be regarded as atrocious and utterly intolerable in a civilized community?

	Yes	No
5		
6		
7 A. Defendant Rick Ross	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8 B. Defendant Mark Workman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9 C. Defendant Charles Simpson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10 D. Defendant Cult Awareness Network	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

26 ORDER -- 4

110.0

1 5. If you have answered yes to any of the questions above, what do find to be the total amount
2 of the Plaintiff's damages?

3 \$875,000
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 ORDER -- 5

1 6. If you have found that any of the Defendants conspired to deprive Plaintiff of his civil rights
2 (question number 3), what amount of punitive damages, if any, should be awarded?

- 3 A. Defendant Rick Ross \$ 2,500,000
- 4 B. Defendant Mark Workman \$ 250,000
- 5 C. Defendant Charles Simpson \$ 250,000
- 6 D. Defendant Cult Awareness Network \$ 1,000,000



10 *Jerry E Harris 9/29/84*
11
12 Foreperson's Signature and Date
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25